

RX  
101  
S2+H12c

A

0  
0  
1  
4  
1  
6  
9  
0  
6  
4


To the Members of the Homoeopathic  
Profession on the Pacific Coast.



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
AT LOS ANGELES



ROBERT ERNEST COWAN





*To the Members of the Homœopathic  
Profession on the Pacific Coast.*

EX LIBRIS



SAN CARLOS 1769

ROBERT ERNEST GOWAN

AMERICANA NO. 1111  
YARROW LIBRARY BOSTON



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2007 with funding from  
Microsoft Corporation



*Permit*  
R. K.  
1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
598  
599  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
698  
699  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
798  
799  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
898  
899  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
988  
989  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000  
1001  
1002  
1003  
1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
1009  
1010  
1011  
1012  
1013  
1014  
1015  
1016  
1017  
1018  
1019  
1019  
1020  
1021  
1022  
1023  
1024  
1025  
1026  
1027  
1028  
1029  
1029  
1030  
1031  
1032  
1033  
1034  
1035  
1036  
1037  
1038  
1039  
1039  
1040  
1041  
1042  
1043  
1044  
1045  
1046  
1047  
1048  
1049  
1049  
1050  
1051  
1052  
1053  
1054  
1055  
1056  
1057  
1058  
1059  
1059  
1060  
1061  
1062  
1063  
1064  
1065  
1066  
1067  
1068  
1069  
1069  
1070  
1071  
1072  
1073  
1074  
1075  
1076  
1077  
1078  
1079  
1079  
1080  
1081  
1082  
1083  
1084  
1085  
1086  
1087  
1088  
1088  
1089  
1089  
1090  
1091  
1092  
1093  
1094  
1095  
1096  
1097  
1098  
1098  
1099  
1099  
1100  
1101  
1102  
1103  
1104  
1105  
1106  
1107  
1108  
1109  
1109  
1110  
1111  
1112  
1113  
1114  
1115  
1116  
1117  
1118  
1119  
1119  
1120  
1121  
1122  
1123  
1124  
1125  
1126  
1127  
1128  
1129  
1129  
1130  
1131  
1132  
1133  
1134  
1135  
1136  
1137  
1138  
1139  
1139  
1140  
1141  
1142  
1143  
1144  
1145  
1146  
1147  
1148  
1149  
1149  
1150  
1151  
1152  
1153  
1154  
1155  
1156  
1157  
1158  
1159  
1159  
1160  
1161  
1162  
1163  
1164  
1165  
1166  
1167  
1168  
1169  
1169  
1170  
1171  
1172  
1173  
1174  
1175  
1176  
1177  
1178  
1179  
1179  
1180  
1181  
1182  
1183  
1184  
1185  
1186  
1187  
1188  
1188  
1189  
1189  
1190  
1191  
1192  
1193  
1194  
1195  
1196  
1197  
1198  
1198  
1199  
1199  
1200  
1201  
1202  
1203  
1204  
1205  
1206  
1207  
1208  
1209  
1209  
1210  
1211  
1212  
1213  
1214  
1215  
1216  
1217  
1218  
1219  
1219  
1220  
1221  
1222  
1223  
1224  
1225  
1226  
1227  
1228  
1229  
1229  
1230  
1231  
1232  
1233  
1234  
1235  
1236  
1237  
1238  
1239  
1239  
1240  
1241  
1242  
1243  
1244  
1245  
1246  
1247  
1248  
1249  
1249  
1250  
1251  
1252  
1253  
1254  
1255  
1256  
1257  
1258  
1259  
1259  
1260  
1261  
1262  
1263  
1264  
1265  
1266  
1267  
1268  
1269  
1269  
1270  
1271  
1272  
1273  
1274  
1275  
1276  
1277  
1278  
1279  
1279  
1280  
1281  
1282  
1283  
1284  
1285  
1286  
1287  
1288  
1288  
1289  
1289  
1290  
1291  
1292  
1293  
1294  
1295  
1296  
1297  
1298  
1298  
1299  
1299  
1300  
1301  
1302  
1303  
1304  
1305  
1306  
1307  
1308  
1309  
1309  
1310  
1311  
1312  
1313  
1314  
1315  
1316  
1317  
1318  
1319  
1319  
1320  
1321  
1322  
1323  
1324  
1325  
1326  
1327  
1328  
1329  
1329  
1330  
1331  
1332  
1333  
1334  
1335  
1336  
1337  
1338  
1339  
1339  
1340  
1341  
1342  
1343  
1344  
1345  
1346  
1347  
1348  
1349  
1349  
1350  
1351  
1352  
1353  
1354  
1355  
1356  
1357  
1358  
1359  
1359  
1360  
1361  
1362  
1363  
1364  
1365  
1366  
1367  
1368  
1369  
1369  
1370  
1371  
1372  
1373  
1374  
1375  
1376  
1377  
1378  
1379  
1379  
1380  
1381  
1382  
1383  
1384  
1385  
1386  
1387  
1388  
1388  
1389  
1389  
1390  
1391  
1392  
1393  
1394  
1395  
1396  
1397  
1398  
1398  
1399  
1399  
1400  
1401  
1402  
1403  
1404  
1405  
1406  
1407  
1408  
1409  
1409  
1410  
1411  
1412  
1413  
1414  
1415  
1416  
1417  
1418  
1419  
1419  
1420  
1421  
1422  
1423  
1424  
1425  
1426  
1427  
1428  
1429  
1429  
1430  
1431  
1432  
1433  
1434  
1435  
1436  
1437  
1438  
1439  
1439  
1440  
1441  
1442  
1443  
1444  
1445  
1446  
1447  
1448  
1449  
1449  
1450  
1451  
1452  
1453  
1454  
1455  
1456  
1457  
1458  
1459  
1459  
1460  
1461  
1462  
1463  
1464  
1465  
1466  
1467  
1468  
1469  
1469  
1470  
1471  
1472  
1473  
1474  
1475  
1476  
1477  
1478  
1479  
1479  
1480  
1481  
1482  
1483  
1484  
1485  
1486  
1487  
1488  
1488  
1489  
1489  
1490  
1491  
1492  
1493  
1494  
1495  
1496  
1497  
1498  
1498  
1499  
1499  
1500  
1501  
1502  
1503  
1504  
1505  
1506  
1507  
1508  
1509  
1509  
1510  
1511  
1512  
1513  
1514  
1515  
1516  
1517  
1518  
1519  
1519  
1520  
1521  
1522  
1523  
1524  
1525  
1526  
1527  
1528  
1529  
1529  
1530  
1531  
1532  
1533  
1534  
1535  
1536  
1537  
1538  
1539  
1539  
1540  
1541  
1542  
1543  
1544  
1545  
1546  
1547  
1548  
1549  
1549  
1550  
1551  
1552  
1553  
1554  
1555  
1556  
1557  
1558  
1559  
1559  
1560  
1561  
1562  
1563  
1564  
1565  
1566  
1567  
1568  
1569  
1569  
1570  
1571  
1572  
1573  
1574  
1575  
1576  
1577  
1578  
1579  
1579  
1580  
1581  
1582  
1583  
1584  
1585  
1586  
1587  
1588  
1588  
1589  
1589  
1590  
1591  
1592  
1593  
1594  
1595  
1596  
1597  
1598  
1598  
1599  
1599  
1600  
1601  
1602  
1603  
1604  
1605  
1606  
1607  
1608  
1609  
1609  
1610  
1611  
1612  
1613  
1614  
1615  
1616  
1617  
1618  
1619  
1619  
1620  
1621  
1622  
1623  
1624  
1625  
1626  
1627  
1628  
1629  
1629  
1630  
1631  
1632  
1633  
1634  
1635  
1636  
1637  
1638  
1639  
1639  
1640  
1641  
1642  
1643  
1644  
1645  
1646  
1647  
1648  
1649  
1649  
1650  
1651  
1652  
1653  
1654  
1655  
1656  
1657  
1658  
1659  
1659  
1660  
1661  
1662  
1663  
1664  
1665  
1666  
1667  
1668  
1669  
1669  
1670  
1671  
1672  
1673  
1674  
1675  
1676  
1677  
1678  
1679  
1679  
1680  
1681  
1682  
1683  
1684  
1685  
1686  
1687  
1688  
1688  
1689  
1689  
1690  
1691  
1692  
1693  
1694  
1695  
1696  
1697  
1698  
1698  
1699  
1699  
1700  
1701  
1702  
1703  
1704  
1705  
1706  
1707  
1708  
1709  
1709  
1710  
1711  
1712  
1713  
1714  
1715  
1716  
1717  
1718  
1719  
1719  
1720  
1721  
1722  
1723  
1724  
1725  
1726  
1727  
1728  
1729  
1729  
1730  
1731  
1732  
1733  
1734  
1735  
1736  
1737  
1738  
1739  
1739  
1740  
1741  
1742  
1743  
1744  
1745  
1746  
1747  
1748  
1749  
1749  
1750  
1751  
1752  
1753  
1754  
1755  
1756  
1757  
1758  
1759  
1759  
1760  
1761  
1762  
1763  
1764  
1765  
1766  
1767  
1768  
1769  
1769  
1770  
1771  
1772  
1773  
1774  
1775  
1776  
1777  
1778  
1779  
1779  
1780  
1781  
1782  
1783  
1784  
1785  
1786  
1787  
1788  
1788  
1789  
1789  
1790  
1791  
1792  
1793  
1794  
1795  
1796  
1797  
1798  
1798  
1799  
1799  
1800  
1801  
1802  
1803  
1804  
1805  
1806  
1807  
1808  
1809  
1809  
1810  
1811  
1812  
1813  
1814  
1815  
1816  
1817  
1818  
1819  
1819  
1820  
1821  
1822  
1823  
1824  
1825  
1826  
1827  
1828  
1829  
1829  
1830  
1831  
1832  
1833  
1834  
1835  
1836  
1837  
1838  
1839  
1839  
1840  
1841  
1842  
1843  
1844  
1845  
1846  
1847  
1848  
1849  
1849  
1850  
1851  
1852  
1853  
1854  
1855  
1856  
1857  
1858  
1859  
1859  
1860  
1861  
1862  
1863  
1864  
1865  
1866  
1867  
1868  
1869  
1869  
1870  
1871  
1872  
1873  
1874  
1875  
1876  
1877  
1878  
1879  
1879  
1880  
1881  
1882  
1883  
1884  
1885  
1886  
1887  
1888  
1888  
1889  
1889  
1890  
1891  
1892  
1893  
1894  
1895  
1896  
1897  
1898  
1898  
1899  
1899  
1900  
1901  
1902  
1903  
1904  
1905  
1906  
1907  
1908  
1909  
1909  
1910  
1911  
1912  
1913  
1914  
1915  
1916  
1917  
1918  
1919  
1919  
1920  
1921  
1922  
1923  
1924  
1925  
1926  
1927  
1928  
1929  
1929  
1930  
1931  
1932  
1933  
1934  
1935  
1936  
1937  
1938  
1939  
1939  
1940  
1941  
1942  
1943  
1944  
1945  
1946  
1947  
1948  
1949  
1949  
1950  
1951  
1952  
1953  
1954  
1955  
1956  
1957  
1958  
1959  
1959  
1960  
1961  
1962  
1963  
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1969  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1979  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1988  
1989  
1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1998  
1999  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025  
2026  
2027  
2028  
2029  
2029  
2030  
2031  
2032  
2033  
2034  
2035  
2036  
2037  
2038  
2039  
2039  
2040  
2041  
2042  
2043  
2044  
2045  
2046  
2047  
2048  
2049<br

Just before the annual meeting of the California State Homeopathic Medical Society, held May 12th, 1886, sufficient proof was obtained to question the statement made by Dr. Hayes C. French before the Board of Examiners. This proof is here presented in the form of an affidavit, made by Dr. A. McNeil, an affidavit made by Frank Swift, of Sacramento, and also by a photographic copy of the diploma of C. Taubner.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, } ss.  
County of San Francisco, } ss.

A. McNeil, being duly sworn, deposes and says: That on the tenth day of May, A.D. 1886, he went in company with Dr. C. E. Pinkham, of Sacramento, California, to call upon one C. Taubner, at his office in Sacramento; that he then and there conversed with said Taubner concerning a diploma which had been granted to said Taubner by the Hahnemann Medical College, of San Francisco, on or about October 30th, 1884; that the diploma was examined and found to be an unqualified diploma, granting the title and degree of "M. D." to said Taubner, and was in form and wording exactly similar to others which had been issued to graduates in medicine by said Hahnemann Medical College, of San Francisco.

It did not show the addition of any words, such as "*ad eundem*" or "*honorary*," which words are frequently added to diplomas when conferred by colleges upon parties who hold diplomas from some other college of like character, or when given as a mark of honor for some distinguished services. When said Taubner was asked if he did not have some other diploma showing him to be a graduate in medicine, he replied that he did not; that the one under observation, which was issued by said Hahnemann Medical College, of San Francisco, was the only one he had ever received. This statement, on the part of said Taubner, was made and repeated in the hearing and presence of the aforementioned Dr. C. E. Pinkham.

(Signed)

A. McNEIL.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, /  
this twelfth day of May, A.D. 1886. /

[SEAL]

JAMES L. KING,

Notary Public.

MÉTIERS BRÉSSES PROFESSORES ET CURATEURS

PROS. PRAESES, PROFESSORES ET LECTORES  
COLLEGIUM HISTORIUM. SCHOLI FRANCISCI.

卷之三

Janus has 25, although several species are similar to one another.

Prácticas de Servicios Profesionales en las que se incluyen el desarrollo de las competencias profesionales y las competencias personales.

## — (Continued) —

THE TOWER OF BABEL

## THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

111

5



STATE OF CALIFORNIA, }  
County of Sacramento. } ss.

Frank Swift, being duly sworn, says that on or about the third or fourth of December, 1885, he called at the office of Dr. Charles Taubner, in Sacramento, California, and examined his diploma as it hung in a frame upon the wall. It was issued to him by the Hahnemann Medical College, of San Francisco, under date of October 30th, 1884. Said diploma did not have any words like "*ad eundem*" or "*honorary*" written or printed upon it, and it did not look as if any erasure had ever been made.

(Signed) FRANK SWIFT.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, }  
May 19, 1886. }

[SEAL] ALBERT M. JOHNSON,  
Notary Public.

At the annual meeting to which reference has just been made, one of the members having these facts in his possession, and feeling that the Board of Examiners had not only been deceived, but that the dignity of the whole profession was involved determined to bring the matter before the State Society, but not wishing to injure the college by giving it to the public press, he moved to go into "committee of the whole" thus compelling *all* but members of the State Society to leave the hall, and then offered the following preamble and resolution.

"WHEREAS, the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco, has been guilty of issuing irregular diplomas, which act involves the dignity of the profession at large as well as the honor of this society, therefore be it

*Resolved*, That this society hereby withdraws its confidence and support from said college."

In opening the discussion on the above resolution Dr. Sidney Worth, (a member of the Faculty) on behalf of the college, claimed that the omission of the words "*ad eundem*" from the diploma of C. Taubner, was a "clerical error."

Dr. R. H. Curtis, (also a member of the Faculty) said it was "generally understood" that it was to be an "honorary degree."

Dr. F. F. De Derky, (a member of the Board of Trustees) said: "I labored over an hour with Dr. Pease to get him to sign that diploma, and he would not sign it until I promised him on my honor, as a gentleman, that I would have '*ad eundem*' written on the diploma and *I did have it written*. *I saw Dr. French write '*ad eundem*' on that diploma.*'"

*He also acknowledged "that he knew C. Taubner never had any other medical diploma."*

In corroboration of Dr. F. F. De Derky's statement, is the following affidavit by Dr. G. M. Pease:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, }  
City and County of San Francisco. } ss.

G. M. Pease being duly sworn, deposes and says. One evening during the month of November 1884, or thereabouts, Dr. F. F. DeDerky, one of the Trustees of the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco, who resided at that time in Sacramento Cal., called upon deponent who was then a professor in the above named college and requested deponent's signature to a diploma for one Taubner of Sacramento. All, or nearly all, the professors except deponent, had signed it. But there was a blank where the name of said Taubner should be, and deponent refused to sign any diploma until the name should be filled in. Dr. DeDerky explained that it was left blank because there was some uncertainty as to the full name of said Taubner, and it was necessary to have it correctly written. Deponent further objected because said Taubner had not attended the lectures in said college, nor had he passed an examination. Dr. DeDerky told deponent that it was not necessary, as Taubner had years before attended college in Germany, or elsewhere, and had other diplomas, that he had practiced medicine before deponent was born. Deponent said he did not know that fact, and had not seen the diplomas.

Dr. DeDerky replied that he had seen them, and that it would do no good for deponent to try to talk with said Taubner, as he could not speak English and deponent did not speak German. Deponent replied you can then make this diploma an "*ad eundem*," and I will sign it. Dr. DeDerky said, but the old man would prefer it to be a regular diploma, and he does not intend

to practice. Deponent asked how he could have such a preference when it would be quite as respectable to have it "*ad eundem*" as showing previous qualifications. Deponent stoutly refused to sign unless it was made "*ad eundem*," and after a long argument Dr. DeDerky gave his word of honor, as a gentleman, that "*ad eundem*" should be written upon the diploma. Even then deponent objected to signing it until the words "*ad eundem*" were actually written, but Dr. DeDerky said he wanted to return to Sacramento early in the morning, and would have it written upon the diploma, at the same time the name of Taubner was written.

In the course of the conversation deponent was told that Taubner was a man of means, who wished to assist the college, and had kindly paid the sum of three hundred and five dollars, which was the amount of fees for a three years' course, and it would please the old man to receive the diploma.

After these statements and assurances, deponent signed the diploma.

(Signed)

G. M. PEASE.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, }  
this 14th day of June, A.D. 1886. }

[SEAL.]

JAMES L. KING,

Notary Public.

Dr. C. B. Currier (the Dean of the Faculty) said, "It was understood that the diploma of C. Taubner was to confer an '*honorary* degree;' that he could not understand what the old man (meaning C. Taubner), said, because he could not speak English; that Dr. Eekel talked with him." He (Dr. C. B. Currier) did not mention the name of Dr. F. F. De Derky at that time.

Dr. J. N. Eekel (the President of the Board of Trustees), assented to the statement made by Dr. C. B. Currier by keeping silent.

This is all the evidence they had to offer against the charge made in the beginning of the discussion by one of the members, Dr. J. M. Selfridge, viz.: That the diploma of C. Taubner was neither an "*ad eundem*" nor an "*honorary*" degree, but, on the contrary, an unqualified diploma.

A vote was then taken on the resolution, and was lost by

thirteen (13) to ten (10), several of the members present not voting, nine of the thirteen being *Professors* of the College, three, *graduates* of the College, and the other one a member of the Board of Trustees.

After the meeting of the State Society adjourned for the night, six of the members, Drs. J. M. Selfridge, G. M. Pease, A. McNeil, E. S. Breyfogle, F. P. Green and J. M. Young, went to the office of Dr. G. M. Pease, the then Secretary of the Board of Examiners, and examined the Taubner diploma, which had been left the same day, May 12th, 1886, with the Secretary of the Board of Examiners, with the usual affidavit, sworn to before a Notary Public, and found that "*ad eundem*" was not written *anywhere* upon it, nor, by the aid of a powerful magnifying glass and transmitted light, could they find any evidence of an erasure on the parchment, with the exception of a slight roughing at the lower right hand corner of the margin, *beneath the signatures*.

The following affidavit confirms the above statement:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, } ss.  
City and County of San Francisco, } ss.

The undersigned being duly sworn depose and say,

That on the evening of May 12th, A.D. 1886, after the close of a meeting of the "California State Homeopathic Medical Society" we carefully examined the diploma issued to Charles Taubner by the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco, under date of October 30, 1884, at the office of the Secretary of the Board of Examiners of the California State Homeopathic Medical Society, Dr. G. M. Pease, 125 Turk street, San Francisco, and were unable to find any words like "*ad eundem*" or "*honorari*" written or printed upon said diploma.

A powerful magnifying glass was also used to ascertain if there were evidences of erasure but none could be found.

At the extreme lower edge, near the right hand corner, beneath the signatures on the margin, was a slight roughening of the parchment such as might easily be made by the edge of a glass used in framing the diploma.

No other roughening of the surface was to be seen and there was no evidence of mutilation.

(Signed) J. M. SELFRIDGE,  
EDWIN S. BREYFOGLE,  
J. M. YOUNG,  
F. P. GREEN,  
G. M. PEASE,  
A. McNEIL. [SEAL]

Subscribed and sworn to before me, }  
this 11th day of February, A.D. 1887. }

JAMES L. KING,  
Notary Public.

After the meeting of the California State Homeopathic Medical Society, held May 12th, 1886, a number of the members believing a wrong had been committed, and believing it proper to inform the members of the Homeopathic Profession of the Pacific Coast, of this fact, called a meeting to discuss the propriety, and advisability, of publishing the above facts, and publication was agreed upon, but as an attempt to preserve harmony, and in order to give the Board of Trustees, another opportunity to correct this wrong, a committee of two, Drs. J. M. Selfridge of Oakland, and E. S. Breyfogle of San Francisco, was appointed, and an ultimatum was given to them to present to the Board of Trustees of the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco,

The committee (Drs. Selfridge and Breyfogle) after communicating with the Board of Trustees, through their Secretary, Dr. W. E. Ledyard, its desire to meet the said Board "to present a subject of importance to the college and also of importance to the general profession," was met by a committee from the said Board of Trustees, consisting of Drs. J. A. Albertson, and William Boericke, and the subject, of the charges above made, was fully discussed, and the following paper was given to the committee, (Drs. Albertson and Boericke to be presented to the Board of Trustees.

DRS. ALBERTSON AND BOERICKE,  
*Committee of the Board of Trustees:*

GENTLEMEN—At a meeting of a minority of the California State Homœopathic Medical Society, a paper was presented *for publication*, in which, with other items, the following *fact* was set forth: That a diploma, *pretending* to be an “*ad eundem*,” was issued October 30, 1884, to one C. Taubner, of Sacramento, by the Hahnemann Medical College, of San Francisco.

We have *all* the interests of homœopathy near to our hearts, and therefore dislike to see any act or acts, from any source whatever, brought forth, which will injure homœopathy. It was this same feeling that prompted us to ask a “Committee of the Whole” to discuss the subject at the last meeting of the California State Homœopathic Medical Society; the subject of the discussion being mainly the issuance of a diploma, which we claim is irregular. Should it not be proven as such, we, the minority of the State Medical Society, when we stated *facts*, which we considered proof enough to obtain the investigation we desired, would most cheerfully give our full support to the Hahnemann Medical College, of San Francisco; *but*, unless these facts can be disproved, we would recommend that, in order to sustain the dignity and present high standing of homœopathy, and the good name of the college, and therefore our own professional honor, that Drs. C. B. Currier, H. C. French, and F. F. De Derky, the self-implicated parties, be dismissed from the college.

We respectfully submit the above as a substitute for what seems to us a very radical measure, namely: the publication of the article prepared, signed and sworn to, which is only held in abeyance that you may have an opportunity to stay the publication, and to *purify* rather than *destroy* the Hahnemann Medical College, of San Francisco, as we are assured by the best legal talent on this Coast that if a fraud has been committed your charter can be revoked.

(Signed)      J. M. SELFRIDGE,  
 EDWIN S. BREYFOGLE,  
 Committee.

The committee representing the Board of Trustees (Drs. Albertson and Boericke) returned the following written reply:

"SAN FRANCISCO, Nov. 12, 1886.

To Drs. BREYFOGLE AND SELFRIDGE,

*Committee of the "Minority of the State Society":*

GENTLEMEN—Refering to our joint meeting, at which time you placed in our hands for presentation to the Board of Trustees of the Hahnemann M. Coll. of San Fran'co a communication giving your version of the "Taubner diploma matter," we beg to inform you that the undersigned committee presented it together with a verbal report of our interview. As your version of the Taubner diploma affair differed so materially from what we believed to be the facts of the case, a committee was appointed by the Board of Trustees to thoroughly investigate the matter from the beginning and, if possible, arrive at the exact state of the facts relating thereto. Hence the delay in answering your communication until now. From that report we will make use of such parts as seem necessary to correct your view about this controversy. And first we wish to call your attention to the following statement and affidavit of Dr. C. B. Currier, the Dean of the college:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, }  
City and County of San Francisco. } ss.

C. B. Currier, being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is now and during the whole of the calendar years 1884 and 1885, was Dean of the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco.

That on or about the 28 day of May, 1884, one Dr. C. Taubner, an aged German gentleman, with an imperfect knowledge of the English language, made application to the authorities of said college, through his personal friend, Dr. F. F. De Derky, for matriculation, and for graded course tickets for a full three years' course in said medical college, for which he paid the full fees, to wit, the sum of \$305.

That at the time when said Taubner made said application, through said De Derky, said De Derky informed the authorities of said college that said Taubner was a graduate of a German University, and that he had practiced medicine for many years.

That at the close of the college year of 1884, the Faculty of said Hahn. Med. College, having implicit confidence in the rep-

resentations of said De Derky, in good faith granted to said Taubner an "*ad eundem*" degree. That thereafter a diploma of such a degree was duly prepared and signed by all the members of the Faculty, including Doctors Pease and McNeil.

That in connection with said matter, no steps of any kind, from the beginning to the end, were taken without the full cognizance and concurrence of every member of the faculty, as indicated by his vote or written assent thereto, including said Pease and McNeil, and that the officers of said college, in all the proceedings connected therewith, were, as affiant is thoroughly convinced and firmly believes, actuated by the highest integrity and good faith, and he can positively say, and swear, that all his own proceedings in the premises were taken and performed in good faith. Further affiant saith not.

(Signed) C. B. CURRIER.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this }  
12 day November, 1886. }

[Seal]

J. H. BLOOD,

Notary Public.

The fact that Dr. Taubner was a graduate from a German University as stated in above document, having been questioned, the committee informed itself and found that said Taubner had received the degree of Doctor of Philosophy from the University of Giessen, Germany, on May 20th, 1835. The following is an exact wording:

"Post exhibita ac comprobata et ingenii et eruditio[n]is doctrinaeque praeclara specimina Doctoris Philosophiae Honores et que cuncte immunitates praerogativas jura ac privilegia usdem annexa ritu et more majorum sub sigillo Academie majore."

After graduating in the philosophical studies at the University of Giessen, in 1835, he spent three years at the University of Berlin, pursuing philological and theological studies. When finished he traveled over Europe, and the Orient and in 1848, came to U. S. where he practiced medicine in Johnstown for many years. Surely a sufficient preparation for an *ad eundem* or honorary degree from any institution.

From these documents the following plain and simple facts can be readily inferred:

1. Application was made for matriculation accompanied by the fees for 3 full terms of lectures at the opening of the first term.

This application was made by Dr. De Derky for his friend Dr. Taubner, and accepted by the Dean. The statement of the Doctor's classical studies was made by Dr. De Derky, and of course considering the standing of the parties unhesitatingly accepted by the Dean.

2. That at the end of the first term in view of his former graduation as a Doctor of Philosophy, his general learning and experience, his age, and inability to attend the required lectures for the full three terms, an Ad Eundem degree was granted him instead of the regular degree. This, we are assured, the College had every legal right to do.

3. The Diploma was designated Ad Eundem by the Registrar's writing said words in the corner of the diploma. And this we believe to be the sole mistake in the matter. It ought to have been written in the body of the diploma (where, indeed it is now) instead of in one corner, where it was possible to erase it, and submit the diploma to mutilation, as the subsequent history proved, without very materially altering the aspect of the diploma.

We have said that the words Ad Eundem were written on the diploma by the Registrar of that year, Dr. H. C. French. The following affidavit bears on this point:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, } ss.  
County of Sacramento. }

Hayes C. French being first duly sworn, says That, I was Registrar of the Hahnemann Medical College of S. F. in '84; that I, by direction of the Medical Faculty of said college, wrote on the lower right hand corner of Dr. Taubner's diploma the words Ad Eundem, and then delivered said diploma to the proper officers, and said words were on said diploma when said diploma was delivered to Dr. Taubner. The said words have been cut off and erased from said diploma without my knowledge or consent.

(Signed)

H. C. FRENCH.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, }  
this 29 day of September, 1886. }

[SEAL.]

L. S. TAYLOR,

Notary Public.

Again, the following copy of an affidavit by the Joint Committee of the Board of Trustees and Faculty explains itself:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, }  
County of Sacramento. } ss.

William Boericke and H. C. French and E. A. Schreck,\* being first duly sworn, each for himself deposes and says:

That on the 29 Sept., A. D. 1886, at Sacramento City, we examined the diploma issued to Dr. C. Taubner on the 30 Oct., 1884, by the Hahnem Med. C. of San Fr., which examination was made in the presence of Dr. C. Taubner and L. S. Taylor, a Notary Public.

That said diploma was first examined in the frame and then, by the consent of Dr. Taubner, was removed from the frame, and then carefully examined; that at the lower right hand corner of said diploma were marks of a sharp instrument abraiding the surface of the parchment, the same as would be necessary in erasing writing or printed words, which abrasion was on the lower edge, and extending a little more than an inch, and being about a quarter of an inch wide; that we also, by a careful comparison of said diploma with one of the blanks used, we found that said diploma had been mutilated by cutting a strip from the bottom of the parchment between  $\frac{3}{4}$  and half an inch in width, commencing at the lower right hand corner and gradually narrowing until it was  $\frac{1}{8}$  of an inch at the left hand lower corner.

That at the same time the words Pro honoris causa were written into the body of said diploma by E. A. Schreck.

That the parchments used for diplomas by said Medical College is of uniform size, as used in the year 1884.

(Signed)

H. C. FRENCH.

Wm. BOERICKE.

E. A. SCHRECK.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, }  
this 29 day of Sept., 1886. }

[SEAL]

L. S. TAYLOR,

Notary Public.

To which may be added the following statement of the Notary Public, a perfect stranger to us all:

\* Since deceased and hereafter his name will be omitted in the discussion.

I, L. S. Taylor, a Notary Public, hereby certify that I was present at the examination of the diploma mentioned in this affidavit, and saw the evidence of erasure and mutilation, and I believe the statement within to be correct, and that the evidences of erasures and mutilation are conclusive.

(Signed)

L. S. TAYLOR,  
Notary Public.

We cannot conceive how any fair minded man can help abiding by the statements in above documents, which to us are simply unimpeachable, and we have no desire to convince anybody of the justice and reasonableness of our cause, if the above documents are unable to do so. The unavoidable difficulties that beset every new venture, besides the inexperience that characterizes all who enter upon any pioneer work, not to speak of the determined opposition of some of the members of our own household, are quite sufficient to account for all mistakes, both apparent and real. We know we have made such, but we know too, gentlemen, more than you are ready to give us credit for, that in all our doings we have been actuated solely by the real good of the cause of homœopathy, pursued according to the best light that we had. We know this to be the truth, and fair, and just, and unprejudiced investigation from any source will establish it.

As a contribution to such investigation we hope the above statement of facts will be welcomed by every member of the "minority of the State Society."

Very sincerely yours,

WM. BOERICKE,  
J. A. ALBERTSON, } Committee.

In their reply, you will notice that the first point to which our attention is called, is a sworn statement, by Dr. C. B. Currier, the Dean, in which he admits the receipt of *three hundred and five dollars*, the fee "for matriculation and for graded course tickets for a full three years' course in said Medical College," and also admits the *graduation* of Taubner, at the close of the *first term*.

In the second annual announcement of the Hahnemann

Medical College of San Francisco, 1885, page 11, is found the following:

“One full course to accredited *graduates* of other medical colleges ..... \$50 00”

And on page 10, under “Requirements for Graduation:”

“He must have attended *three full regular courses of lectures*, the last of which must have been at this College.”

“He must pass *all* the regular examinations, etc.”

What inference can be drawn from Dr. Currier’s sworn statement, after reading the above? If he believed that said Taubner “was a *graduate* of a German University,” as he swears he was informed by Dr. De Derky, why did he accept a fee for a full *three years’* course? Was not the said Taubner entitled to an *unqualified* diploma, for \$50, at the close of the college year of 1884?

Dr. C. B. Currier, the Dean, also swears: “That in connection with said matter, no steps of *any kind* from the beginning to the end, were taken, without the full cognizance and concurrence of every member of the faculty, as indicated by his vote or written assent thereto, including said Pease and McNeil.”

The following affidavits, by Drs. B. P. Wall, G. M. Pease and A. McNeil—all members of the faculty at that time—are a sufficient reply to the above:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, }  
County of Alameda. } ss.

B. P. Wall, M.D., being duly sworn, deposes and says: That he was a Professor in the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco, during the year 1884. That in regard to the diploma of one C. Taubner, deponent says that he was not aware that “the Faculty of said Hahnemann Medical College “had” in good faith granted to said Taubner an *ad eundem* degree.” That he was not aware that “thereafter a diploma of such a degree was duly prepared.” That when Dr. F. F. De Derky presented said diploma for the signature of deponent, at his office in Berkeley, the words *ad eundem* were not on said diploma, and nothing was

said about its being an *ad eundem* degree. That said De Derky was in a great hurry to catch the next train, and represented that all the other Professors would sign the said diploma, that had not already done so. That upon the foregoing representations deponent signed the said Taubner diploma.

Written the fourth day of January, 1887.

(Signed) B. P. WALL.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, }  
this 5th day of January, A.D. 1887. }

[SEAL] A. B. MERRILL,

Notary Public in and for Alameda Co., Cal.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, } ss.  
City and County of San Francisco, }

G. M. Pease, being duly sworn, deposes and says that during the college term of 1884 he was Professor of Gynecology and Surgical Diseases of Women in the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco, and neither during that time nor for a long time after he signed a diploma for one Charles Taubner, of Sacramento, did he know that said Taubner was not a previous graduate in medicine; nor was he ever told or given to understand until some time in November, 1886, that said Taubner possessed a diploma showing him to be a graduate in Philosophy. On the contrary he was, prior to May, 1886, made to believe that said Taubner did possess a medical diploma and had been in medical practice for many years as a graduate in medicine. Further, he did not know during any part of the year 1884 that said Taubner had applied "for matriculation and for graded course tickets for a full three years' course in said Medical College, for which he paid the full fee, to wit: The sum of \$305." He only learned from rumor that said Taubner, being a man of means, who wished to help the college, had donated about three hundred dollars.

He did not know that "the Faculty of said Hahnemann Medical College" had, "in full faith, granted to said Taubner an *ad eundem* degree." Deponent further says that said diploma was presented to him at his office in San Francisco by Dr. F. F. De Derky, for his signature, said De Derky was in a great hurry and represented that he wanted to take the early train to Sacramento. Deponent further says that most of the Professors of

the college had previously signed said diploma; that the name of Charles Taubner was not on the diploma, and the words "*ad eundem*" were neither printed or written *anywhere* on said diploma, but that said De Derky gave his word of honor as a gentleman that the words "*ad eundem*" should be written upon said diploma.

(Signed) G. M. PEASE.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this }

10th day of February, A.D. 1887. }

JAS. L. KING,

[SEAL]

Notary Public,

308 California Street, San Francisco.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, }  
City and County of San Francisco. } ss.

A. McNeil, being duly sworn, deposes and says: That during the college term of 1884 and 1885 he was Professor of Materia Medica in the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco; that in 1884 he signed a diploma which was represented to be for one Chas. Taubner, of Sacramento; but that he did not know at that time, nor did he know until May, 1886, that said Taubner was not a previous graduate in medicine; nor was he ever told or given to understand, until some time in November, 1886, that said Taubner possessed a diploma showing him to be a graduate in Philosophy.

On the contrary, he was, prior to 1886, made to believe that said Taubner did possess a medical diploma, and had been in medical practice for many years as a graduate in medicine. Deponent further says that he did not know, during any part of the year 1884, that said Taubner had applied "for matriculation and for graded course tickets for a full three-years' course in said Medical College, for which he paid the full fee, to wit: \$305."

He only learned from rumor that said Taubner, being a man of means, who wished to help the college, had contributed a sum of money to said college as a donation.

He did not know that "the Faculty of said Hahnemann Medical College had in full faith granted to said Taubner an '*ad eundem*' degree."

Deponent further says that, before affixing his signature, many of the Professors of the College had previously signed

said diploma; that the name of Charles Taubner was not on the diploma, and the words, “*ad eundem*” were neither printed nor written anywhere on said diploma; but deponent was led to believe that they would be written upon it before it was delivered to said Taubner.

(Signed)

A. McNEIL.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, }  
this 10th day of Feb'y, A.D. 1887. }

JAS. L. KING,

[SEAL]

Notary Public,

308 California street, San Francisco.

The reply of the Board of Trustees further states “that said Taubner had received the degree of Doctor of Philosophy from the University of Geissen, Germany, on May 20, 1835.” After this “he spent 3 years at the University of Berlin, pursuing philological and theological studies,” after “traveling,” he came “to U. S., where he practiced medicine in Johnstown for many years.” “Surely (they say) a sufficient preparation for an ‘*ad eundem*’ or ‘Honorary Degree’ from any institution.”

One would suppose from the answer of the Board of Trustees that the terms “*ad eundem*” and “*honorary*” were synonymous; whereas, the meaning of “*ad eundem*” is “to the same degree,” (*gradum* being understood) and “*pro honoris causa*” (Honorary) is “for the cause or sake of honor.” An “*ad eundem*” degree in *medicine* can therefore only be issued to one having *previously* received an *unqualified diploma* from a *medical* college in good standing.

An “*honorary*” (*pro honoris causa*) degree, in *medicine*, may be issued only to a *medical graduate* who has acquired *EMINENCE* in his profession.

It appears that C. Taubner was a gentleman of great literary attainments, and was *said* to have “practiced medicine many years in Johnstown.” Are these “sufficient preparations” for an “*ad eundem*” degree in *medicine*?

As the Board of Trustees did not consider it necessary to examine C. Taubner, as to his knowledge of medicine, was it not the *duty* of Dr. C. B. Currier, the Dean, to have

at least required the said C. Taubner to produce *documentary evidence* thereof, as is required by every *respectable* college in the land, and which is doubtless required by the constitution and by-laws of the very institution of which he is Dean, rather than to have depended on the *word* of Dr. De Derky, even if he *did* have "implicit confidence in the representations of said De Derky"; whereas, he (C. Taubner) never held, or *claimed to have held*, or produced, or was *required* to produce any medical diploma whatever.

The reply of the Board of Trustees further states that "the diploma was designated '*ad eundem*' by the Registrar's writing said words in the *corner* of the diploma." It is admitted in their reply that *ad eundem* "ought to have been written in the *body* of the diploma."

Why was it *not* "written in the *body* of the diploma," and why was it written "in the corner of the diploma," where it could have been covered by an ordinary frame, thus making it appear to be an unqualified diploma?

Dr. Hayes C. French swears that he wrote it there by the direction of the Medical Faculty. (This statement, however, is directly controverted by the affidavits of three professors of the college.)

Were the "Medical Faculty" ignorant of the proper place to write "*ad eundem*," or was it because they *knew* that *C. Taubner expected to obtain an unqualified diploma*?

Drs. William Boericke and Hayes C. French, the "Joint Committee of the Board of Trustees, and Faculty," swear, "that at the same time (Sept. 29, 1886,) the words '*pro honoris causa*' were written into the *body* of said diploma." These gentlemen were both members of the *Faculty*, and according to the sworn statement of Dr. C. B. Currier, the Dean, "that in connection with said matter no steps of any kind, from the *beginning* to the *end*, were taken without the *full cognizance* and *concurrence* of *every member of the Faculty*;" and Drs. Boericke and French were members of the "Joint Committee of the Board of Trustees and Faculty" and wrote "*pro honoris causa*" "into the *body*" of the diploma that Dr. C. B. Currier *swears* was an "*ad eundem*."

Were they instructed to do this, and why were such instructions given to them if, as they say in their written answer, "the College had every *legal* right" to issue this "*ad eundem*" degree, and why did these members convert this "*ad eundem*" degree into an *honorary* degree if the said C. Taubner was "*morally and legally*" entitled to an "*ad eundem*" in *medicine*, and why, in all their annual announcements did they publish the name of C. Taubner as a GRADUATED M. D., except in the annual announcement of 1887, page 21, where his name appears for the FIRST TIME as having graduated honorarily?

*And where did the American Institute of Homeopathy obtain the information that led to the publication of the following in the transactions of the American Institute for 1885, page 642: C. Taubner "GRADUATED AT 25 YEARS OF AGE IN A GERMAN MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, AND PRACTICED EVER SINCE."*

C. Taubner certainly had some "*legal rights*," because he had paid \$305 for an alleged "*ad eundem*" degree, when he should have had an unqualified diploma for \$50 (as is shown by their annual announcements) if he was entitled to *any* diploma, and since he finally received only an *honorary* degree, he was entitled to the return of the fee of \$305, because an *honorary* degree is *always* complimentary. *But the fee was not returned.*

Drs. William Boericke and Hayes C. French, the "Joint Committee of the Board of Trustees and Faculty," *swear* "that on the 29th day of Sept., A. D. 1886," "we examined the diploma issued to C. Taubner on the 30th day of October, 1884." "That said diploma was *first examined in the frame*, and then was *removed from the frame*, and then *carefully examined*; that at the *lower right hand corner* of said diploma were marks of a sharp instrument abrading the surface of the parchment, the same as would be necessary in erasing written or printed words, which abrasion was on the LOWER EDGE."

"That we also, by a careful comparison of said diploma with one of the blanks used, we found that said diploma *had been mutilated*, by cutting a strip from the *bottom* of the parchment."

In their written answer, the committee, Drs. Albertson and Boericke, for the Board of Trustees, comment on the above as follows:

"The diploma was designated '*ad eundem*' by the Registrar's writing said words in the *corner* of the diploma. And this we believe to be the *sole mistake* in the matter. It ought to have been written in the *body* of the diploma (WHERE, INDEED, IT IS NOW) instead of in one corner, where it was possible to erase it and submit the diploma to *mutilation*, as the subsequent history proved, *without very materially altering the aspect of the diploma*."

Drs. William Boericke and Hayes C. French swear, as shown above, that they examined the Taubner diploma—nearly *two years* after this diploma, purporting to have been an "*ad eundem*," was issued to C. Taubner. Why did the Board of Trustees and Faculty permit this long time to elapse (namely, from October 30, 1884, to September 29, 1886,) before examining the Taubner diploma, and why the necessity of *an* examination, if they believed the said diploma to be an "*ad eundem*?"

The "Joint Committee" finds, "*after removing the diploma from the frame and carefully examining it*, that said diploma had been mutilated, by cutting a strip from the bottom of the parchment."

Webster defines the word *mutilate* as "1st. To cut off an *essential* part of—and 2d. To remove a *material* part of, so as to render imperfect."

No *essential* or *material* part of said Taubner diploma had been removed when examined by Drs. Selfridge, Pease, McNeil, E. S. Breyfogle, Green and Young, at the office of Dr. G. M. Pease, *May 12th, 1886*, *after* the photograph of the diploma had been taken (see photograph) and *previous* to the Joint Committee's examination, which was at the time it was received accompanied by an affidavit for a license. *No abrasion of any kind or defacement was found above the signatures, neither were the words "ad eundem" found written anywhere on the parchment.*

*The removal of the entire "parchment" beneath the signa-*

tures WOULD NOT have been a "mutilation," because it deprived the *diploma* of NO ESSENTIAL OR MATERIAL PART. This is shown in the photographic copy of the diploma by the wide margin remaining *beneath* the signatures and *above* the *alleged* "mutilation."

The words "*ad eundem*" should have been written "in the *body* of the *diploma*," (as they admit) *above* the signatures, *before* the signatures were written, the erasure of the words "*ad eundem*" *then*, without the consent of *all* the persons whose signatures were beneath them, ought to constitute a felony.

What right had this "Joint Committee" to write the words "*pro honoris causa*" into the *body* of the Taubner *diploma*, *above* the signatures, without the consent of *all* the signers to the *diploma*. *Was this a legal act?*

We do not admit that the *diploma* was "mutilated," as the *alleged* "mutilation" was *under* the signatures; but we will admit that the words "*ad eundem*" were written "on the lower right hand corner" of the blank parchment, as sworn to by Dr. Hayes C. French; and we also admit that the parchment under the signatures which contained the words "*ad eundem*" was removed, possibly in the process of framing.

This admission, however, *does not* establish the truth of the statement made by Dr. Hayes C. French to the Board of Examiners, at the meeting held December 10, 1885, which was "that he wrote the words '*ad eundem*' upon that DIPLOMA," which assertion secured licenses for all the graduates of the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco who had presented their diplomas and affidavits to the Board of Examiners at that meeting, and also secured the *recognition* of the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco by the Board of Examiners.

Dr. Hayes C. French *knew* at that time that "*ad eundem*" was *not* written upon the *body* of said diploma of C. Taubner, but was written "on the lower right hand corner," far *beneath* the signatures, where it would be covered *if* framed, and where it did not *detact one particle of value* from the

unqualified diploma which C. Taubner held for nearly two years, and knowing this, Dr. Hayes C. French *deceived* the majority of the Board of Examiners at that meeting, who, in *good faith*, issued the above mentioned licenses, and which licenses would not have been issued had it not been for the deception of Dr. Hayes C. French.

If the “cutting of a strip from the bottom of the parchment” was a mutilation, was it not the *duty* of the Board of Trustees and Faculty, who issued the diploma, to have used every means within their power to have brought the one guilty of the mutilation to justice? *Who was most benefitted by the alleged mutilation?*

Did the Board of Trustees and Faculty really believe that this diploma *could* be mutilated by “cutting a strip from the bottom of the parchment,” *far beneath the signatures*?

It is admitted in their written answer that “*ad eundem*” “ought to have been written in the body of the diploma,” (and at the date of their answer, November 12, 1886, they say) [WHERE, INDEED, IT IS NOW].

We will say, in reply, that the words “*ad eundem*” are *not now*, and *never* have been, written in or across the body of the Taubner diploma, for their answer of November 12, 1886, contains a sworn affidavit of their “Joint Committee,” which says: “That at the same time (nearly two years after the diploma had been issued, September 29, 1886,) the words ‘*pro honoris causa*’ were written into the *body* of said diploma,” and by instructions from the Board of Trustees and Faculty.

They further say in their answer “we cannot conceive how any fair minded man can help abiding by the statements in the above documents, which to us are simply unimpeachable, and *we have no desire to convince anybody* of the justice and reasonableness of our cause, if the above documents are unable to do so.” Is this a proper reply to give to the minority, which has honestly endeavored to correct this great wrong done against the *entire* homeopathic profession? The minority is composed of “fair minded” men, and had they believed the statements made by the Board of Trustees

through their committee to the minority, they would have abided by them; but the affidavits given above have questioned their statements, and therefore we are unable to see the "justice and reasonableness" of their cause.

We do not admit that there were any "unavoidable difficulties" in establishing this college—as there is as good talent among the members of the homœopathic profession on the Pacific Coast as elsewhere, and the standing of *this* college could have been as high as that of *any* college if its graduates had been made to fulfill the "Requirements for Graduation," published in their annual announcements.

The only "opposition in our own household" is the *determined stand* of honest members of the Homœopathic profession against the *issuing of irregular* diplomas, the gradation of *incompetent* persons, and the admission to the college of *uneducated* matriculants. Is the doing of these things advancing the cause of homœopathy?

No attempt was made by the Board of Trustees and Faculty to change the Taubner diploma from an unqualified diploma to an "*honorary*" diploma until after *our* proof that they had issued an unqualified diploma to C. Taubner, at the meeting of the California State Homœopathic Medical Society, held May 12, 1886, and said change was made September 29, 1886, by Drs. William Boericke and H. C. French, by writing "the words '*pro honoris causa*' into the *body* of the diploma," *above* the signatures, without the consent of *all* the signers, and in the face of the fact, repeatedly sworn to by themselves, that the diploma should have been an "*ad citendum*," and, furthermore, while charging upon others the mutilation of an important document, by removing essential words, how can they relieve themselves from the graver charge of having totally changed the character of that document by the insertion of words to which, by their own admission, it was not entitled?

In C. Taubner's affidavit accompanying his diploma sent to the Board of Examiners to obtain a license, he *swears* "that he is the person named in the diploma accompanying this affidavit; that he is the lawful possessor of said diploma;

that said diploma was procured by affiant *in the regular course of medical instruction*, and without fraud or misrepresentation of any kind;" whereas, in their written answer, the Board of Trustees state "that at the end of the first term an *ad eundem* degree was granted him instead of the regular degree."

If C. Taubner attended the lectures for the one term how did he, "with an imperfect knowledge of the English language," derive sufficient benefit therefrom to "pass all the regular examinations?" There is no evidence to show that he did "pass all the regular examinations," and there is an affidavit from Dr. G. M. Pease, a professor at that time, that *he* did not examine him.

As they admit in their written answer that he had no diploma *in medicine*, and "that he was granted an *ad eundem* degree at the end of the first term," and as he did not fulfill *any* of the "Requirements for Graduation," as published in their annual announcement for 1884, he was not entitled to *any* diploma, notwithstanding he "paid the full fee, to wit, the sum of \$305."

### RESUMÉ.

I. C. Taubner did not receive an "*ad eundem*" degree from the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco. See photograph of diploma; affidavits of Dr. A. McNeil, Frank Swift, and the joint affidavit of Drs. Selfridge, Breyfogle, Pease, Green, Young and McNeil.

II. C. Taubner never received a medical education from any college; and therefore had no medical diploma before the one he procured from the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco. See affidavit of Dr. A. McNeil; the statement of Dr. De Derky, at the State Society meeting, held May 12, 1886, and the joint affidavit of Drs. Boericke and French and E. A. Schreck.

III. C. Taubner was not entitled to an "*ad eundem*" degree in medicine, he being only a graduate in Philosophy. See statement of Dr. De Derky, at the State Society meet-

ing, and the joint affidavit of Drs. Boericke and French and E. A. Schreck.

IV. C. Taubner was not entitled to an *honorary* degree in medicine, (he being only a Ph. D.) and for the reason that such degrees are granted to such only as have acquired *eminence* in the medical profession, and are *always* complimentary.

V. C. Taubner received a regular diploma from the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco, with "*ad eundem*" written on the *margin* of the *lower right hand corner* of the *parchment*, which was probably cut off in the process of framing, and as such was held until September 29th, 1886 (nearly two years), for which he paid \$305. See affidavits of Dr. A. McNeil and Dr. H. C. French; joint affidavit of Drs. Boericke and French and E. A. Schreck; photograph of the diploma; affidavit of Dr. C. B. Currier.

VI. That this regular diploma was changed to an *honorary* degree, September 29th, 1886. See joint affidavit of Drs. Boericke and French and E. A. Schreck. Notwithstanding Dr. C. B. Currier *swears* that an "*ad eundem*" degree was granted him. See Dr. C. B. Currier's affidavit.

VII. Had C. Taubner been a previous graduate in medicine, and had he received an *ad eundem* degree, as Dr. C. B. Currier, the Dean, *swears* he did, the fee should have been *only* \$50, instead of what he paid, \$305.

See annual announcements of the college, and Dr. C. B. Currier's affidavit.

VIII. C. Taubner was not entitled to the diploma he received October 30, 1884, because he had not fulfilled any of the "Requirements for Graduation," except the payment of \$305 "for matriculation and graded course tickets for a full three years' course." See annual announcements and Dr. C. B. Currier's affidavit.

IX. The removal of the words "*ad eundem*" from the *bottom* of the *parchment* did not mutilate the DIPLOMA of C. Taubner, because being *for* beneath the *signatures* they formed *no part* of the *diploma*, and therefore *no essential* or *material* part was removed. See Webster's definition of *mutilate*, and photograph of the diploma.

X. That the officers of the college required no documentary evidence from C. Taubner as to his previous graduation in medicine. See Dr. C. B. Carrier's affidavit, and answer by the joint committee, Drs. Albertson and Boericke.

In conclusion, we say that, in all this controversy, we have acted fairly towards the college. For

*First*—To avoid giving the matter to the public press at the annual meeting of the California State Homœopathic Medical Society, we moved to go into “Committee of the Whole.”

*Second*—Subsequently, instead of publishing the above facts, we gave them a statement embodying these facts, with an ultimatum, thereby affording them an opportunity of purifying the college and securing the co-operation of the *entire Homœopathic profession*.

The above paper was prepared, submitted to the minority, and ordered published by them, but to avoid giving these facts to the profession at large, and to preserve harmony, *another* attempt was made to lay the subject before the State Society, and for that purpose we asked for a special meeting of the California State Homœopathic Medical Society to be called on March 23d, 1887, at 10 A. M., at the Y. M. C. A. Hall in San Francisco. Immediately after the said meeting had been called to order by the President, and the call for the special meeting read, Dr. G. H. Palmer (a member of the Faculty) arose and stated that this was not a legally called meeting, that it was necessary for seven members to sign a call, that Dr. Coxhead (one of the signers of the call), being delinquent in dues, was therefore not a member of the State Society, and on this technicality Dr. G. H. Palmer made a motion to adjourn, which was immediately seconded by Dr. J. A. Albertson (a member of the Board of Trustees) and the motion to adjourn was carried by TWENTY-ONE to seven. The entire twenty-one, with three exceptions, being attaches or graduates of the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco.

The San Francisco *Daily Examiner* of March 23d (the day of the meeting of the special session) contained an article

headed "*Doctors at War*," which was made up of statements made by Dr. C. B. Currier, the Dean, and William Boericke to the reporters of the above paper. Thus *first* publishing to the world the subject matter which the minority had so carefully tried to avoid, in repeatedly refusing to be interviewed by the reporters.

The San Francisco dailies of March 24th, in their notices of the special meeting, commented on the action of the majority as follows: "*A Scandal Temporarily Averted by a Hasty Adjournment.*" "*Grave Charges Against a Medical College.*" "*Headed Off by a Technical Motion.*" "*Aroused to Fever Heat Over Certain Grave Charges.*" "*Afraid to Face the Facts.*"

That we might present all the facts, we obtained since the above mentioned meeting, the following affidavits showing conclusively that the "Joint Committee" knew when and by whom the alleged mutilation was made.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, } ss.  
County of Sacramento. }

Dr. Charles Taubner, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That some time in the latter part of 1884 I received a diploma from the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco. That on the lower right hand edge of the margin were written the words "*ad eundem*." That thereafter I took the diploma to Theodore W. Schwamb, a picture framer at 804 J street, Sacramento, Cal., to have it framed. That subsequently, on or about May, 1886, on taking the said diploma from the frame, for the purpose of taking the said diploma to San Francisco, I observed that the words "*ad eundem*" were not there, and I believe the said words were removed by said Schwamb in the process of framing.

That thereafter, Drs. Schreck, Boericke and French visited my office, and that Dr. Schreck wrote across the body of my diploma the words "*pro honoris causa*," and at that time I expressed to them my belief that the words "*ad eundem*" had been removed in the process of framing.

DR. CHARLES TAUBNER.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, }  
this 26th day of March, 1887. }

[SEAL] H. J. GOETHE,  
Notary Public.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, }  
County of Sacramento. } ss.

Theodore W. Schwamb, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That I do business at 804 J St., Sacramento, Cal. That I am now and have been for about nine years engaged in the business of selling pictures and frames and of framing pictures and documents of all kinds. That it is my custom, in framing articles, to make the margin at the top and on either side of a uniform width, by laying the glass that is to cover the article framed over it, and measuring to see that these three sides show an even width of margin. That I then cut off all the lower margin that extends beyond the glass, be that portion more or less, and without reference in width to the other three sides, being always careful to leave a sufficient margin around the article framed. That in cutting the glasses for the frames they may not be perfectly square, consequently the article framed would be made to correspond in shape to the glass.

That on or about three years ago, Dr. C. Taubner, of this city, brought to me a diploma issued to him by the Hahnemann Medical College of San Francisco, to be framed. Owing to the lapse of time, I am unable to say positively, but my impression is that I cut a strip from the lower margin of this diploma, in the process of framing, as above described. I do not remember noticing any writing on the lower edge. It may have been there, but if I had seen it there, and it had extended beyond the glass, I should have cut it off, as being of no consequence.

THEO. W. SCHWAMB.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, }  
this 26th day of March, 1887. }

[SEAL] C. W. BAKER,

Notary Public, Sacramento County.

J. M. SELFRIDGE, M. D.,  
F. P. GREEN, M. D.,  
T. C. COXHEAD, M. D.,  
J. M. YOUNG, M. D.,  
E. S. BREYFOGLE, M. D.,

*Committee.*



**UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LIBRARY**

**Los Angeles**

**This book is DUE on the last date stamped below.**

500 SEP 12 1977

SLP (127)

41585



University of California  
SOUTHERN REGIONAL LIBRARY FACILITY  
405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90024-1388  
Return this material to the library  
from which it was borrowed.

LIBRARY  
JAN 1970  
QUARTER LOAN

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
AT  
LOS ANGELES

3 1158 00194 2654

TM



A 001416906

